

APPENDIX 4;

Quality assessment of case reports using the NOS tool												
Author	Year	No. of patients	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Score	Overall
Allen	2014	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Apel	2013	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Austin	2015	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Balbouzis	2016	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Bartholomeu	2018	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Biglia	2020	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Bonilla	2018	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Briani	2015	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Charette	2017	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Choi	2019	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Citak	2018	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Czekaj	2013	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Dahms	2014	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Davies	2019	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Dolliana	2016	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Enseleit	2016	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Esteban Sánchez	2016	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Fox	2016	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Garcia	2020	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Gautam	2019	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Giampreti	2014	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Giampreti	2014	4	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Gilbert	2013	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Goel	2016	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Grant	2016	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Griffiths	2015	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Grillo	2016	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low

Grosso	2018	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Guevara	2018	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Harris	2015	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Ho	2018	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Ikeda	2010	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Jones	1975	7	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Kao	2014	1	B	B	B	B	-	B	B	B	5	Low
Kim	2016	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
LapenaMotilva	2019	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Lecoanet	2019	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Leikin	2015	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Machado	2012	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Mao	2011	2	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Marcus	2014	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Martin	2015	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Moniz	2017	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Mosier	2016	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Ng	2013	1	B	B	A	B	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Nogar	2018	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Oldenburg	2009	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Payen	2015	1	B	B	B	A	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Pelayo-de Tomas	2017	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Pelclova	2012	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Peters	2017	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Reich	2019	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Reid	2014	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	B	B	5	Low
Rizzetti	2009	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Sanches Dalmau	2015	1	B	B	B	A	-	B	B	D	4	High
Sanz Perez	2019	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Shapiro	2018	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Sotos	2013	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low

Steens	2006	1	B	B	B	A	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Tilney	2017	1	B	B	B	A	-	B	B	B	6	Low
Tower	2012	1	B	A	B	A	-	B	A	A	7	Low
Tower	2010	2	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Vasukutty	2016	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Woelber	2016	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low
Wong	2014	1	B	B	B	A	-	B	A	A	5	Low
Zeynalov	2018	1	B	C	B	A	-	B	B	A	5	Low
Zywiell	2013	1	B	B	A	A	-	B	A	A	6	Low

The following domains were assessed: Selection; Representativeness of the exposed cohort (1), Selection of the non-exposed cohort (2), Ascertainment of exposure (3), and Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study (4). Comparability; comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis (5). Outcome: 6. Assessment of Outcome (6), Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur (7), and Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts (8). Records were judged “high risk of bias” if the overall score was less than 5 points. Records were judged “low risk of bias” if the overall score was 5 or higher.